



Name of the class: City system and urban metabolism Class code: 76966 Type of class: Mandatory Credits: 6 Last day of curricular review: September 2020 Pre-requisite: None

# A) NAME OF THE CLASS: CITY SYSTEM AND URBAN METABOLISM

Analytic Program						
	City System and Urban Metabolism					
			General Informa	tior	n	
Type of curriculum proposal:	New Creation	X I	Restructuration		Adjustment	
Type of class: Class shared with another EP or academic entity	Required       x       Optative       Complementary       Other         (x) No       () Yes       () Yes					
Produced by:	Dr. Marcos Algara-Siller, Dra. Lourdes Marcela López-Mares					
Semester	Hours of the week	ory per	Hours of practice per week	e	Hours of additional work per week	Credits
	3		1		1	6





General	Provide students with tools to understand the key concepts of urban resource governance,
objetive	conceptualize urban areas as a socio-ecological and complex system, analyze networks of
	key actors in specific contexts, discern different governance models considering subject
	flows, energy and subsystems, understand the city system as a whole and issue
	recommendations to strengthen these models in real cases.
Specific objectives	<ul> <li>Understand the concepts of urban governance, models and levels of governance in different contexts.</li> <li>Conceptualize urban areas as socio-ecological and complex systems based on systems theory.</li> <li>Analyze the participation of different actors and institutions in decision making, roles, associations, power relations, trust and leadership related to the management of urban resources.</li> <li>Understand different concepts of subsystems such as technical infrastructure, social systems, the natural environment or scales (homes, buildings) and their interrelation</li> <li>Distinguish between different governance models and issue recommendations to strengthen these models in real cases.</li> <li>Use systems theory for the representation, explanation and modeling of urban areas</li> </ul>
Specific professional competence (s) for which the class contributes.	<ul> <li>Capacity for analysis, synthesis and critical reasoning on issues related to the governance of urban resources.</li> <li>Ability to understand the basic principles of systems theory.</li> <li>Appropriate application of theoretical knowledge to the analysis of real cases.</li> <li>Ability to discern and critically assess to make proposals for improvement to existing governance schemes.</li> <li>Ability for collaborative work</li> <li>Ability to understand contextuallity (local culture) as a condition for system behavior and concept transfer</li> </ul>
Practices of the specific professional competence for which the class contributes	<ul> <li>The students will:</li> <li>Analyze, formulate arguments and present their ideas in oral presentations.</li> <li>Cooperate inter / multidisciplinary based on the understanding of the common system</li> <li>Communicate using transdisciplinary language to work on complex projects.</li> <li>Establish synergies with people and stakeholders from various fields.</li> <li>Communicate and share ideas effectively both in writing and orally.</li> <li>Make a critical review of literature related to urban governance</li> <li>Compare and contrast models of urban governance in national and international cases</li> <li>Develop a framework that allows them to analyze different governance models</li> </ul>





	<ul> <li>Implemer managen</li> <li>Establish governan</li> <li>Select the</li> <li>They will governan</li> </ul>	nt this framework in the analysis of governance structures for resource ment in specific urban contexts. communication with different actors involved in urban resource ince in a case study and critically analyze their positions. e appropriate data collection methods develop skills for decision-making related to the improvement of ince models
Professional transversal (s) competence (s) for which the class contributes	<ul> <li>Reason t between framewor fields of t</li> <li>Assume t and activ political a</li> <li>Understa the same cultures.</li> <li>Commun as throug</li> </ul>	hrough the establishment of coherent and systematical relationships the information derived from the experience and the conceptual ks and explanatory models derived from the scientific and technological he profession. their own responsibilities under criteria of quality and relevance to society, ely contributing to the identification and solution of social, economic, and environmental sustainability issues. Ind the world around it and insert it into its own cultural perspective and at time tolerant and open to the understanding of other perspectives and icate your ideas orally and in writing, both in Spanish and English, as well the most modern information technologies.
Units	Units	Content
	1.Introduction to the governance	Introduction, basics Actors The role of cities in the global crisis Urban participation in the use of land and resources.
	2. Elements of the governance 3. Governance	Mapping elements of governance Nation-city Municipal capacity Private sector Civil sector and grassroots organizations Political systems and institutions Governance schemes for resource management in urban areas
	schemes for resource management in urban areas	Environmental awareness Urban Resource Governance Resource management models
	4. Challenges for public policy and research gaps	Challenges for public policy Research gaps and state of affairs in urban governance





Method and	Method	The course is designed as a workshop / seminar that promotes collective				
practice		reflection about the concepts derived from class readings and				
		presentations for later application in a local case study.				
	Practice	The students will:				
		They will issue recommendations for the improvement of the				
		urban governance model studied.				
		Develop a frame of reference based on reading and critical				
		discussion of references				
		<ul> <li>Design a project for which they will identify the relevant</li> </ul>				
		literature and the methods of research and interpretation of				
		results.				
		They will select a case study that allows them to analyze the				
		participation of stakeholders and the models of governance				
		and management of urban resources used.				
		Work closely with associations, institutions, citizen groups or				
		other actors working on issues related to urban resources.				
Método de	Partial exam	10% Theme presentation and discussion organization				
evaluación		20% Critical essay				
		10% Group project of a case study, written and oral presentation				
		20% Project approach related to your research proposal				
		40% Final project: written work and presentation				
	Final exam	Sum of all previous works				
	Other activities	Field work				





Bibliography and digital resources	Bibliography	Albeverio, S., Andrey, D., Giordano, P., & Vancheri, A. (2007). The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. In The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1937-3
		Avis, W. R. (2016). Urban Governance (Topic Guide). Birmingham, UK: GSDRC, University of Birmingham.
		Bache, I., & Flinders, M. (2004). Multi-level governance. Oxford University Press.
		Ballestero, R. M., & Rodríguez, F. A. (2008). Adaptive design and management of a payments for ecosystem services program in Copán Ruinas, Honduras. Agricultural Research: forest systems and resources, 17 (1), 79-90.
		Barkin, D. (2006). Urban water management in Mexico. University of Guadalajara.
		Biggs, C., Ryan, C., & Wiseman, J. (2010). Distributed Systems: A design model for sustainable and resilient infrastructure Business Intelligence and Policy Instruments. Retrieved from www.ecoinnovationlab.com.
		Biswas, A.K. (2008) Integrated Water Resources Management: Is It Working ?, International Journal of Water Resources Development, 24: 1, 5-22, DOI: 10.1080 / 07900620701871718
		Brosius, J. P., Tsing, A. L., & Zerner, C. (1998). Representing communities: Histories and politics of community - based natural resource management.
		Canto Chac, M. (2008). Governance and citizen participation in public policies facing the development challenge. Politics and culture, (30), 9-37.
		Díaz Álvarez, Cristian Julián. "Urban metabolism: tool for the sustainability of
		Dobson, A. (2007). Environmental citizenship: towards sustainable





development. Sustainable development, 15 (5), 276-285.
Ernstson, H., Barthel, S. E., Andersson, And Borgström, S.T. 2010. Scale-crossing brokers and network governance of urban ecosystem services: the case of Stockholm. Ecology and Society 15 (4): 28. [online] URL: http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol15/iss4/art28/
Espanya. Ministry of Public Administrations. (2005). Governance today: 10 reference texts. INAP.
Fiksel, J. (2003). Designing Resilient, Sustainable Systems. Environmental Science and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1021/en0344819
Herzer, H. M. (2011). Construction of risk, disaster and urban environmental management: Perspectives under debate. REDESMA virtual magazine, 5 (2), 51-60.
Leach, M., Mearns, R., & Scoones, I. (1999). Environmental entitlements: dynamics and institutions in community-based natural resource management. World development, 27 (2), 225-247.
Mayntz, R. (2001). The State and civil society in modern governance. Reform and democracy, (21), 7-22.
Michel, A., Ribardière, A. "Identifying urban resources to read socio- spatial inequalities", EchoGéo [Online], 39   2017, Online since 28 March 2017, connection on 23 February 2019. URL: http://journals.openedition.org/echogeo/14943; DOI: 10.4000 / echogeo.14943
Pacheco, V.R. (2006). Global environmental citizenship: An analytical cut for the study of transnational civil society. Spiral (Guadalajara), 12 (35), 149-172. Retrieved on February 22, 2019, from http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1665-05652006000100006&Ing=es&tIng=es
Peyman Khodabakhsh, B., Mashayekhi, S., & Malekpour Asl, B. (n.d.).





An Analytical View on Resilience Urban Planning, Focusing on Urban Transport Systems and Climate Change. An Analytical View on Resilience Urban, 2 (3), 213–228. https://doi.org/10.30958/ajss.2-3-5
Rhodes, R. A. (1997). Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity and accountability. Open university press.
Romero-Lankao, P., Gnatz, D. M., Wilhelmi, O., & Hayden, M. (2016). Urban sustainability and resilience: From theory to practice. Sustainability (Switzerland). https://doi.org/10.3390/su8121224.
Sáinz, Á. V., Maldonado, M. A., & García, R. V. (2010). Citizenship and environmental awareness in Spain (No. 67). CIS. Partially obtained from:
https://books.google.es/books?hl=en&lr=&id=N1LYNxXfDzwC&oi=f nd&pg=PA7&dq=conciencia+medioambiental&ots=1zX1AB4ssX&s ig=ctNPkAoWQfw3Ylf4WwiD8AWs0x
Schmitter, P. C. (2002). Participation in governance arrangements: is there any reason to expect it will achieve "sustainable and innovative policies in a multi-level context" ?. In Participatory governance (pp. 51-69). VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden.
Shackleton, C., Willis, T., Brown, K., & Polunin, N. (2010). Reflecting on the next generation of models for community-based natural resources management. Environmental Conservation, 37 (1), 1-4. doi: 10.1017 / S0376892910000366
Williams, B. (2011). "Adaptive management of natural resources — framework and issues", Journal of Environmental Management, 92 (5): 1346-1353, ISSN 0301-4797.
Williamson, O. E. (1996). The mechanisms of governance. Oxford University Press.
X Sanchez, A., van der Heijden, J., & Osmond, P. (2018). The city politics of an urban age: urban resilience conceptualizations and policies. Palgrave Communications. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-





	018-0074-z
Digital	
resources	

# **B) CONTENTS AND METHODS BY UNITS AND TOPICS**

	Unit 1. Introduction to governance and urban metabolism	7h	
Topic 1.1 Concepts	Topic 1.1 Concepts, context and definitions		
Subtopic	1.1.1 Introduction, concepts, context, background and relevance		
Topic 1.2 Stakehol	ders	2h	
Subtopic	1.2.1 Stakeholders in governance		
	1.2.2 Government structures		
Topic 1.3 The role	of cities in the face of the global crisis	3h	
Subtopic	1.3.1 Urban participation in the use of land and resources.		
	1.3.2 Urban infrastructure and the supply situation.		
	1.3.3 Urban emissions		





Bibliography and digital resources	Bibliography	Avis, W. R. (2016). Urban Governance (Topic Guide). Birmingham, UK: GSDRC, University of Birmingham.
		1.1 Concepts, context, definition
		Rhodes, R. A. (1997). Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity and accountability. Open university press.
		Williamson, O. E. (1996). The mechanisms of governance. Oxford University Press.
		Espanya. Ministerio de Administraciones Públicas. (2005). La gobernanza hoy: 10 textos de referencia. INAP.
		Quintero-Castellanos, C. "Governance and theory of organizations". Latin American Profiles, 25 (50) 2017 pp. 39-57 doi: 10.18504 / pl2550-003-2017
		1.2 Actors in the governence
		Bache, I., & Flinders, M. (2004). Multi-level governance. Oxford University Press.
		Mayntz, R. (2001). The State and civil society in modern governance. Reform and democracy, (21), 7-22.
	Digital resources	
Teaching	Workshop / seminar	that promotes collective reflection about the concepts derived from
method	class readings and p	presentations.
Learning	Analyze and formula	ate arguments and present them in oral presentations.
acitivities	Make a critical revie	w of literature related to urban governance
	Develop a frame of	reference based on readings

Unit 2. The city as a system	12h
Topic 2.1 Introduction to systems theory	3h





Subtopic	2.1.1 System definitions	
	2.1.1.1 Limit	
	2.1.1.2 Autopoiesis	
	2.1.1.3 Self-regulation	
	2.1.2 Systems theory schools	
	2.1.2.1 Systems theory	
	2.1.2.2 Kibernetics	
	2.1.2.3 Complex adaptive systems	
Topic 2.2 Socio-ed	ological systems	2h
Subtopic	2.2.1 The adaptive cycle, resilience and panarchy	
	2.2.2 Natural systems vs. social	
	2.2.3 Concept of socio-ecological systems	
	2.2.4 the city as socio-ecological systems	
Topic 2.3 Complex	systems and limits	3h
Subtopic	2.3.1 Cities and urban areas based on complex systems theory	
	2.3.2 Urban resources and their infrastructure	
	2.3.3 Urban scales and their respective subsystems	
	2.3.4 Interconnections of urban subsystems	
Topic 2.4 Urban su	ustainability	1h
Subtopic	2.4.1 International speech on urban sustainability	
	2.4.2 Efficiency and resilience of the urban system as sustainability characteristics	
	2.4.3 The panarchy as scales of urban systems	
	2.4.4 Urban planning approaches based on systemic thinking	
Topic 2.5 Metabolis	sm and urban governance	3h
Subtopic	2.5.1 Metabolism as a means for governance	
	2.5.2 Links	
	2.5.3 Case studies	





Bibliography and	Bibliography	2. The city as a system
digital resources		Albeverio, S., Andrey, D., Giordano, P., & Vancheri, A. (2007). The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. In The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1937-3
		Biggs, C., Ryan, C., & Wiseman, J. (2010). Distributed Systems: A design model for sustainable and resilient infrastructure Business Intelligence and Policy Instruments. Retrieved from <u>www.ecoinnovationlab.com</u> .
		Díaz Álvarez, Cristian Julián. "Urban metabolism: tool for the sustainability of cities". Interdiscipline 2, no. 2 (2014): p. 51–70.
		Córdoba, C. F and Villagrana-Gutiérrez, A. (2015) The modeled city as an ecosystem: principles and strategies for the sustainability of the urban metabolism systems of the city. Nodo Magazine Vol. 9. No. 18: 59-66pp. January June. Bogotá D.C. Colombia
		Fiksel, J. (2003). Designing Resilient, Sustainable Systems. Environmental Science and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0344819
		Peyman Khodabakhsh, B., Mashayekhi, S., & Malekpour Asl, B. (n.d.). An Analytical View on Resilience Urban Planning, Focusing on Urban Transport Systems and Climate Change. An Analytical View on Resilience Urban, 2(3), 213–228. https://doi.org/10.30958/ajss.2-3-5
		Romero-Lankao, P., Gnatz, D. M., Wilhelmi, O., & Hayden, M. (2016). Urban sustainability and resilience: From theory to practice. Sustainability (Switzerland). https://doi.org/10.3390/su8121224.
		X Sanchez, A., van der Heijden, J., & Osmond, P. (2018). The city politics of an urban age: urban resilience conceptualisations and policies. Palgrave





		Communications. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-		
		0074-z		
	Digital resources			
Teaching	Discussion seminar	in which students critically analyze governance models in case studies		
methods	documented in different locations.			
	Project development	ient workshop in which students formulate a research approach.		
Learning	Compare and contra	ast models of urban governance in national and international cases		
activities	Develop a frame of reference that allows analyzing different governance models			
	Implement this frame	s framework in the analysis of governance structures for resource		
	management in spec	cific urban contexts.		

	Unit 3. Elements of urban governance and metabolism	12h
Topic 3.1 Introd	luction	1h
Subtopic	3.1.1 Mapping elements of governance	I
Topic 3.2 Natio	on-city	2h
Subtopic	3.2.1 State-nation relations	
	3.2.2 Challenges and opportunities to balance state-nation relations	
	3.2.3 Case studies	
Topic 3.3 Muni	cipal capacity	3h
Subtopic	3.3.1 Planning and regulation	
	3.3.2 Urban finance	
	3.3.3 Human capacity and administrative structures	
	3.3.4 Provision of services	
	3.3.5 Case studies	
Topic 3.4 Priva	it sector	1h
Subtopic	3.4.1 Local economy and resources	
	3.4.2 Public-private partnerships	
	3.4.3 Case studies	
Topic 3.5 Civil	sector and base organizations	3h
Subtopic	3.5.1 Participation: power, trust, decision making and leadership	
	3.5.2 Types of participation: social, citizen, community	
	3.5.3 Participation structures	
	3.5.4 Regulatory framework for participation	
	3.5.5 Case studies	
Topic 3.6 Institu	utional and politic system	2h





Subtopic	3.6.1 Formal and informal political structures				
	3.6.2 Political economy and decision making				
	3.6.3 Case studies				
Bibliography and	Bibliography	Avis, W. R. (2016). Urban Governance (Topic Guide). Birmingham,			
digital resources		UK: GSDRC, University of Birmingham.			
		3.5 Civil sector and base organizations			
		Schmitter, P. C. (2002). Participation in governance arrangements: is			
		there any reason to expect it will achieve "sustainable and			
		innovative policies in a multi-level context"?. In			
		Participatory governance (pp. 51-69). VS Verlag für			
		Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden.			
		Canto Chac, M. (2008). Governance and citizen participation in			
		public policies facing the development challenge. Politics			
		and culture, (30), 9-37.			
	Digital resources				
Teaching	Discussion seminar	r in which students critically analyze governance models in case studies			
methods	documented in different locations.				
	Project development	ent workshop in which students formulate a research approach.			
Learning	Compare and cont	Compare and contrast models of urban governance in national and international cases			
activities	Develop a frame of reference that allows analyzing different governance models				
	Implement this fran	ramework in the analysis of governance structures for resource			
	management in spe	nanagement in specific urban contexts.			

Unit 4. Es	squemas de gobernanza para la gestión de recursos en áreas urbanas	9h
Topic 4.1 Introduct	lion	1h
Subtopic	4.1.1 Introduction Resource management in urban areas	
Topic 4.2 Environ	mental awareness	2h
Subtopic	4.2.1 Environmental citizenship	
	4.2.2 Conception and perception of the environment: environmental awareness	
Topic 4.3 Urban R	esource Governance	2h
Subtopic	4.3.1 Governance of natural resources and ecosystem services in cities	
	4.3.2 Urban artificial resources: energy, housing, infrastructure, equipment, work	
Topic 4.4 Resourc	e management models	4h
Subtopic	4.4.1 Community management: urban commons and CBNRM	





	4.4.2 Adaptive management
	4.4.3 Integral management
	4.4.4 Case studies





Bibliography and	Bibliography	4.1.1 Introduction Resource management in urban areas
digital resources		Herzer, H. M. (2011). Construcción del riesgo, desastre y gestión ambiental urbana: Perspectivas en debate. Revista virtual REDESMA, 5(2), 51-60.
		<ul> <li>4.2 Environmental awareness</li> <li>Sáinz, Á. V., Maldonado, M. A., &amp; García, R. V. (2010). Ciudadanía y conciencia medioambiental en España (No. 67). CIS. Parcialmente obtenido en: https://books.google.es/books?hl=en&amp;lr=&amp;id=N1LYNxXfDz wC&amp;oi=fnd&amp;pg=PA7&amp;dq=conciencia+medioambiental&amp;ots =1zX1AB4ssX&amp;sig=ctNPkAoWQfw3Ylf4WwiD8AWs0xQ#v =onepage&amp;q=conciencia%20medioambiental&amp;f=false</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>4.2.1 Environmental citizenship</li> <li>Pacheco, V.R. (2006). Ciudadanía ambiental global: Un recorte analítico para el estudio de la sociedad civil transnacional. Espiral (Guadalajara), 12(35), 149-172. Recuperado en 22 de febrero de 2019, de http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid =S1665-05652006000100006&amp;Ing=es&amp;tIng=es</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Dobson, A. (2007). Environmental citizenship: towards sustainable development. Sustainable development, 15(5), 276-285.</li> <li>4.3.1 Governance of natural resources and ecosystem services Ernstson, H., Barthel, S. E., Andersson, And Borgström, S.T. 2010.</li> </ul>
		Scale-crossing brokers and network governance of urban ecosystem services: the case of Stockholm. Ecology and Society 15(4): 28. [online] URL: http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol15/iss4/art28/
		Barkin, D. (2006). La gestion del agua urbana en Mexico. Universidad de Guadalajara.
		4.3.2 Artificial resources: energy, housing, infrastructure, equipment, work





Michel, A., Ribardière, A. « Identifying urban resources to read socio- spatial inequalities », EchoGéo [Online], 39   2017, Online since 28 March 2017, connection on 23 February 2019. URL : http://journals.openedition.org/echogeo/14943 ; DOI : 10.4000/echogeo.14943
4.4.1 CBNRM community management
Leach, M., Mearns, R., & Scoones, I. (1999). Environmental entitlements: dynamics and institutions in community- based natural resource management. World development, 27(2), 225-247.
Brosius, J. P., Tsing, A. L., & Zerner, C. (1998). Representing communities: Histories and politics of community-based natural resource management.
Shackleton, C., Willis, T., Brown, K., & Polunin, N. (2010). Reflecting on the next generation of models for community-based natural resources management. Environmental Conservation, 37(1), 1-4. doi:10.1017/S0376892910000366
4.4.2 Adaptive management
Williams, B. (2011). "Adaptive management of natural resources— framework and issues", <i>Journal of Environmental</i> <i>Management</i> , 92 (5): 1346-1353, ISSN 0301-4797.
4.4.3 Integral managment
Biswas, A.K. (2008) Integrated Water Resources Management: Is It Working?, International Journal of Water Resources Development, 24:1, 5-22, DOI: 10.1080/07900620701871718
4.4.4 Cases of study
Ballestero, R. M., & Rodríguez, F. A. (2008). Diseño y gestión adaptativa de un programa de pagos por servicios





	ecosistémicos en Copán Ruinas, Honduras, Investigación		
	Agraria: sistemas v recursos forestales 17(1) 79-90		
	Digital resources		
Teaching	Seminar / workshop on critical discussion of reference frames and research advances.		
methods			
Learning	Develop a reference framework that allows them to analyze different governance		
activities	models related to urban resource management		
	<ul> <li>Implement this framework in the analysis in the case study selected.</li> </ul>		
	Collect data and establish communication with different actors involved in urban		
	resource governance and critically analyze their positions.		

	Unit 5. Challenges for governance and urban metabolism	8h
Topic 5.1 Challeng	es for public policy	3h
Subtopic	5.1.1 Challenges: Inclusion, informality, urban conflicts, migration and climate chang	е
Topic 5.2 Research	n gaps and state of affairs in urban governance	5h
Subtopic	5.2.1 Political economy, human settlements, data and monitoring	





Bibliography and	Bibliography	AVIS, W. R. (2016). Urban Governance (Topic Guide).			
digital resources		Birmingham, UK: GSDRC, University of			
		Birmingham.			
		Jacobsson, B. et al. (2015). Governing the Embedded State.			
		The Organizational Dimension of Governance. UK:			
		Oxford University Press.			
		Ojeda, R. (2017). Urban planning and societies in transit. The			
		city as a representation of a chronic crisis.			
		Institutional Magazine of the Female University of			
		the Sacred Heart, Research Center volume 22 - No.			
		2 July - December 2017 ISSN n.º 1680 – 3817			
	Digital resources				
Teaching	Discussion seminar	ussion seminar and project development workshop in which students formulate a			
methods	research approach.				
Learning	Analyze the case study in light of the theory				
activities	Issue recommendati	mmendations related to the improvement of governance models.			

### C) TEACHING AND LEARNING STRATEGIES

The course follows a conference-discussion format, complemented by a group exercise, where the lectures provide the knowledge and skills necessary for the group exercise of the students to design an urban system model. The reading and discussion are supported by the reading provided to prepare the sessions, and the participation and active participation of the students is expected during the presence phases.

The exercise is organized as a group work, where students assume roles of moderator and protocol of the sessions. The group will prepare a visual representation of an (sub) urban system with the software of their choice (for example, image software, design or Sankey diagram) and report on the discussion process, the use of literature and software and will explain the result in a brief report.

Elaboration and presentation of:	Periodicity	Duration	Percentage of each partial in relation to the ordinary
First partial exam:	At the end of unit	Units 1 and 2	20%
Theme presentation and discussion organization	1		

### **D) EVALUATION AND ACREDITATION**





Second partial exam:	At the end of unit	Unit 3	20%
Group project of a case study, written and oral	3		
presentation			
Third partial exam:	At the end of unit	Unit 4	20%
Project approach related to your research proposal	4		
Third partial exam:	At the end of unit	Unit 5	40%
Final project: written work and presentation	5		
		TOTAL	100%
Ordinary exam	The final ordinary grade will consist of the weighted sum of		
	the partial evaluations.		
Other academic activities required	Special non-mandatory activities will not have an additional		
	value for each unit. These consist of attending special		
	events on the subject or participation as organizers in		
	events of the discipline, as well as dissemination and		
	training activities		

# E) BIBLIOGRAPHY AND INFORMATIC RESOURCES

### **Basic texts**

- Albeverio, S., Andrey, D., Giordano, P., & Vancheri, A. (2007). The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. In The Dynamics of Complex Urban Systems. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-1937-3
- Avis, W. R. (2016). Urban Governance (Topic Guide). Birmingham, UK: GSDRC, University of Birmingham.
- Bache, I., & Flinders, M. (2004). Multi-level governance. Oxford University Press.
- Ballestero, R. M., & Rodríguez, F. A. (2008). Adaptive design and management of a payments for ecosystem services program in Copán Ruinas, Honduras. Agricultural Research: forest systems and resources, 17 (1), 79-90.
- Barkin, D. (2006). Urban water management in Mexico. University of Guadalajara.
- Biggs, C., Ryan, C., & Wiseman, J. (2010). Distributed Systems: A design model for sustainable and resilient infrastructure Business Intelligence and Policy Instruments. Retrieved from www.ecoinnovationlab.com.
- Biswas, A.K. (2008) Integrated Water Resources Management: Is It Working ?, International Journal of Water Resources Development, 24: 1, 5-22, DOI: 10.1080 / 07900620701871718





- Brosius, J. P., Tsing, A. L., & Zerner, C. (1998). Representing communities: Histories and politics of community based natural resource management.
- Canto Chac, M. (2008). Governance and citizen participation in public policies facing the development challenge. Politics and culture, (30), 9-37.
- Díaz Álvarez, Cristian Julián. "Urban metabolism: tool for the sustainability of
- Dobson, A. (2007). Environmental citizenship: towards sustainable development. Sustainable development, 15 (5), 276-285.
- Ernstson, H., Barthel, S. E., Andersson, And Borgström, S.T.. 2010. Scale-crossing brokers and network governance of urban ecosystem services: the case of Stockholm. Ecology and Society 15 (4): 28. [online] URL: http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol15/iss4/art28/
- Espanya. Ministry of Public Administrations. (2005). Governance today: 10 reference texts. INAP.
- Fiksel, J. (2003). Designing Resilient, Sustainable Systems. Environmental Science and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1021/en0344819
- Herzer, H. M. (2011). Construction of risk, disaster and urban environmental management: Perspectives under debate. REDESMA virtual magazine, 5 (2), 51-60.
- Leach, M., Mearns, R., & Scoones, I. (1999). Environmental entitlements: dynamics and institutions in community-based natural resource management. World development, 27 (2), 225-247.
- Mayntz, R. (2001). The State and civil society in modern governance. Reform and democracy, (21), 7-22.
- Michel, A., Ribardière, A. "Identifying urban resources to read socio-spatial inequalities", EchoGéo [Online], 39 | 2017, Online since 28 March 2017, connection on 23 February 2019. URL: http://journals.openedition.org/echogeo/14943; DOI: 10.4000 / echogeo.14943
- Pacheco, V.R. (2006). Global environmental citizenship: An analytical cut for the study of transnational civil society. Spiral (Guadalajara), 12 (35), 149-172. Retrieved on February 22, 2019, from http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S1665-05652006000100006&Ing=es&tIng=es
- Peyman Khodabakhsh, B., Mashayekhi, S., & Malekpour Asl, B. (n.d.). An Analytical View on Resilience Urban Planning, Focusing on Urban Transport Systems and Climate Change. An Analytical View on Resilience Urban..., 2 (3), 213–228. https://doi.org/10.30958/ajss.2-3-5





- Rhodes, R. A. (1997). Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity and accountability. Open university press.
- Romero-Lankao, P., Gnatz, D. M., Wilhelmi, O., & Hayden, M. (2016). Urban sustainability and resilience: From theory to practice. Sustainability (Switzerland). https://doi.org/10.3390/su8121224.
- Sáinz, Á. V., Maldonado, M. A., & García, R. V. (2010). Citizenship and environmental awareness in Spain (No. 67). CIS. Partially obtained from: https://books.google.es/books?hl=en&lr=&id=N1LYNxXfDzwC&oi=fnd&pg=PA7&dq=conciencia+me dioambiental&ots=1zX1AB4ssX&sig=ctNPkAoWQfw3Ylf4WwiD8AWs0x
- Schmitter, P. C. (2002). Participation in governance arrangements: is there any reason to expect it will achieve "sustainable and innovative policies in a multi-level context" ?. In Participatory governance (pp. 51-69). VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, Wiesbaden.
- Shackleton, C., Willis, T., Brown, K., & Polunin, N. (2010). Reflecting on the next generation of models for community-based natural resources management. Environmental Conservation, 37 (1), 1-4. doi: 10.1017 / S0376892910000366
- Williams, B. (2011). "Adaptive management of natural resources framework and issues", Journal of Environmental Management, 92 (5): 1346-1353, ISSN 0301-4797.
- Williamson, O. E. (1996). The mechanisms of governance. Oxford University Press.
- X Sanchez, A., van der Heijden, J., & Osmond, P. (2018). The city politics of an urban age: urban resilience conceptualizations and policies. Palgrave Communications. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0074-z