

Course Name: Regulations and institutions  
Course key: 76968  
Type of course: Mandatory  
Approved credits: 6  
Last curriculum revision date: September 2020  
Pre-requisite: None

**A ) COURSE NAME : REGULATIONS AND INSTITUTIONS**

Synthetic program				
Regulations and institutions				
General Information				
Type of curricular proposal:	New creation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restructuration	Change
Type of course:	Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Optional	Complementary
Course shared with another Academic program or academic entity	( x ) No ( ) Yes With which academic program is shared? _____ From which semester? _____ From which academic entity? _____			
Produced by	MC Sonia Judith Ramírez-Guevara, Gadjah Mada University in Indonesia			
Reviewed by	Dr. Jonathan Hammurabi González-Lugo, Dra. Violeta Mendezcarlo-Silva, MSc Mariana García-de la Torre			
Semester	Theory hours per week	Practice hours per week	Additional student work hours per week	Credits
	3	1	1	6

<b>Main Objective</b>	The participant will have an overview of the regulation in environmental matters, public policies as well as the legal resources existing for application in academic, business or government life.	
<b>Specific Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify public norms and policies that regulate environmental matters in our country</li> <li>• Application of public norms and policies to case studies</li> <li>• Methodological bases for access to the means of defense in environmental matters as well as the law's validity</li> </ul>	
<b>Specific and professional competences to which it contributes to develop the subject</b>	The graduate will have the bases of the legal system and public policies in environmental matters in force at international and local. They will be able to identify the principles, norms, resolutions and theoretical arguments of the legislation related to environmental issues.	
<b>Performance of the specific professional competence to which it contributes to develop the subject</b>	<p>The students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They identify the public problems and legal grounds for its legal approach,</li> <li>• They will implement public policy proposals for the improvement of the legal system of environmental protection.</li> </ul>	
<b>Competences to which it contributes to develop the subject</b>	Students will assume one's responsibilities under criteria of quality and relevance to society, and actively contributing to the identification and solution of urban problems, including social, economic, political and environmental sustainability disciplines. Students will obtain organizational and project management skill. They will conduct empirical social research (surveys, etc.) and perform field measurements. Graduates know how to work independently but also in a team.	
<b>Professional competence (s) transversal (s) to the one (s) that contributes to develop the subject</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They will have technical elements for the implementation of legal instruments and concrete actions for the legal protection of the environment, in a multidisciplinary way.</li> <li>• To intervene in forceful actions for the protection and defense of the human right to a natural environment , privileging the mainstreaming of public policies and participating in the process of building them , based on meta-legal entities (society, politics, government).</li> </ul>	
<b>Units</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Contents</b>
	<b>1. Concepts and definition</b>	Basic concepts and fundamentals around environmental law, regulation and legal frameworks.
	<b>2. Legislation regarding</b>	Regulation in the field of atmosphere, water, noise and soil, rules, laws, regulations and other applicable legal instruments, basic notions of construction and legislative modification.



	<b>Atmosphere, Water, Noise and Soil</b>	
	<b>3. Impact, Risk and Applicable Legal Means</b>	Legal-environmental implications of human activities, implications and applicable legal instruments to reduce environmental damage
	<b>4. Access to Environmental Justice</b>	Conceptualization and implications of environmental justice, access to justice, routes of action, and legal instruments to invoke respect for legislation and protection of environmental rights.
<b>Methods and practices</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<p>Presentation of topics through videos, power point presentations and lectures.</p> <p>The course will mainly be set up as a seminar-workshop. The main attraction of this method lies in the possibility of a collective reflection on each of the topics looked at during the program. The content of the class will be delivered through readings and presentations in class and home. The course will be dynamic and participatory, based on discussions.</p>
	<b>Practices</b>	Practical activities will be carried out to analyze the relationship between the environment and the legislation, as well as the development and integration of the means of defense in environmental matters
<b>Evaluation method</b>	<b>Partial exam</b>	20%   First partial exam: Units 1 y 2
		20%   Second partial exam: Unit 3
		20%   Third partial exam: Unit 4
		40%   Research work
	<b>Final exam</b>	The ordinary final grade will correspond to the weighted average of the three partial evaluations (20% each) and a research paper (40%): 100%
<b>Other activities</b>	<p>Field Work</p> <p>Participation</p> <p>Oral presentations</p> <p>Investigation project</p>	



**Bibliography  
and digital  
resources**

Asia-Pacific Agreement on clean development and climate

Adshead, J. (2013). Doing Justice to the Environment. *The Journal of Criminal Law*, 77, Issue 3, 215-230.

Arriaga, A. and Pardo, M. (2011). Environmental Justice: The state of the matter. *RIS*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 627-648.

Bowen, W. (2002). An Analytical Review of Environmental Justice Research: What do We Really Know? *Environmental Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 3-15.

Brookes, G. & Bartfoot, P. (2005). GM Crops: The Global Economic and Environmental Impact — The First Nine Years 1996–2004. *AgBioForum*, 8 (2 & 3): 187-196.

Caminada, R. (2012). ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FROM A PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND EFFECTIVE GOVERNMENTAL MANAGEMENT. *VOX JURIS*, Lima (Peru) 24 (2): 17-36.

Carmona LM and Hernández, ML Selected Topics in Environmental Law, Coord., Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection, 2006

Carmona, M. (2000). Rights in relation to the environment. Mexico: Chamber of Deputies, LVIII Legislature-UNAM.

Carrizales, L; Díaz - Barriga, F; Mejía, J; et al. (1999). Health effects associated with exposure to hazardous waste. Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí. Available at: <http://ambiental.uaslp.mx/docs/FDB-ResPeligrosos.pdf>

Clifford S. Pusell and Philip T. Powel, Washington. The selection of environmental policy instruments. Theoretical problems and practical considerations. No. 6NV-102. Available at: <https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf>

Convention on long-distance cross-border air pollution

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992.

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

International Court of Arbitration and Environmental Conciliation

International Court of Justice

Dreher, L. (2004). Health and Environmental Impact of Nanotechnology: Toxicological Assessment of Manufactured Nanoparticles. *Toxicological Sciences*, Volume 77, Issue 1, January 2004, Pages 3–5



- Eckerd, A. & Keeler, A. (2012). Going green together? Brownfield remediation and environmental justice. *Policy Sci* 45, 293-314
- Environmental Impact Assessment. (2012). FAO. Rome.
- Escudero, NC Science, Law and Environment, Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, 2013.
- Esnard, A., Gelobter, M. & Morales, X. (2004). Environmental Justice, GIS, and Pedagogy. *Cartographica*, volume 38, # 3 & 4, Fall / Winter 2001. Published March 2004, pp. 53-61.
- Faber, D. & McCarthy, D. (2001). The Evolving Structure of Environmental Justice Movement in the United States: New Models for Democratic Decision-Making. *Social Justice Research*, Vol. 14, No. 4, December, 405-421.
- FAO International Code of Conduct on the distribution and use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985.
- Friedmann, Wolfgang, The new structure of international law, part 3, chap. 11, Ed. Trillas, Mexico, 1967.
- García López, T. (2007). The principle of reparation of environmental damage in public international law. An approach to its reception by Mexican law. *Mexican Yearbook of International Law*, 1 (7). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/ijj.24487872e.2007.7.207>
- Giannuzzo, A. (2020). Studies on the environment and environmental science. *Scientiae Studia* V.8 No.1. Available at: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ss/v8n1/a06v8n1.pdf>
- Gould, K., Weinberg, A. & Schnaiberg, A. (1993). Legitimizing Impotence: Pyrrhic Victories of the Modern Environmental Movement. *Qualitative Sociology*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 207-246.
- Grineski, S. & Collins, T. (2008). Exploring patterns of environmental injustice in the Global South: Maquiladoras in Ciudad Juarez. *Popul Environ* 29, 247-270.
- Gupta, J. and Sanchez, N. (2012). Global Green Governance: Embedding the Green Economy in a Global Green and Equitable Rule of Law Policy. *RECIEL* 21 (1), 12-22.
- H. City Council of San Luis Potosí. Recovered from <http://www.sanluis.gob.mx/>.
- Hasan, Gazi Saiful. (2013). Principles of International Environmental Law: Application in National Laws in Bangladesh.
- Hiskes, R. (2006). Environmental Human Rights and Intergenerational Justice. *Human Rights Review*, April-June, 81-95.



- Honberg, C. & Pauli, A. (2007). Child poverty and environmental justice. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 210, 571-580.
- Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). *Environmental law*. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.
- Johnson, M. & Niemeyer, E. (2008). Ambivalent Landscapes: Environmental Justice in the US-Mexico Borderlands. *Hum. Ecol.* 36, 371-382.
- Leff, E. (2000). *The Environmental Complexity*. Mexico: Twenty-First Century Publishers.
- López, S. & Ferro, A. (2006). *Environmental law*. Mexico, Iure Editores.
- Millner, F. (2011). Access to Environmental justice. *Deakin Law Review*, volume 16, No. 1, 189-207.
- Mohai, P. & Saha, R. (2006). Reassessing racial and socioeconomic disparities in environmental justice research. *Demography*, Volume 43, number 2, 383-399.
- Morales, A. (1999). *Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments*. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.
- Moreno, A. (2010). Environmental Justice From concept to application in Planning and Analysis of Territorial Policies. *Scritta Nova, Electronic Journal of Geography and Social Sciences*. [Online] Barcelona: University of Barcelona, March 1, 2010, Vol. XIV, no. 316
- Mundin, M. (2011). Access to Environmental Justice: A Closer Look at the Rules of Procedure for Environmental Cases. *Ateneo Law Journal*, Vol. 55, issue 4, 1066-1090.
- Muriel, R. (2006). Environmental management. Sustainable idea Space for reflection and communication in sustainable development, year 3 No.13.
- Nyka, Maciej & Ciechanowicz-McLean, Janina. (2016). *Environmental Law ("Law-Basic Concepts" series)*.
- Ortega, M. (2002). Origin and evolution of the environmental justice movement. *Political Ecology*, No. 41, 17-24
- Pearce, J., Richardson, E., Mitchell, R. & Shortt, N. (2010). Environmental justice and health: the implications of socio-spatial distribution of multiple environmental deprivation for health inequalities in the United Kingdom. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers NS* 35, 522-539.
- Pedersen, O. (2011). Environmental justice in the UK: uncertainty, ambiguity and the law. *Legal Studies*, Vol 3.1, No. 2 June. 279-304.
- Pérez, E. (2001). *Environmental law*. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.

- Ponce, D. (2012). Procurement and access to environmental and territorial justice in Mexico. Electronic Publication Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, num.6, 112-124.
- Principles of Environmental Justice. Recovered from <http://www.ejnet.org/ej/principles.html>
- Cartagena Protocol on biosecurity SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." International Relations Magazine. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstract "International Court of the Environment Foundation (ICEF)" "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.
- Puraité, A. (2012). Origins of Environmental Regulation. Jurisprudence, 19 (2), 657-674.
- Riechman, J. (2003). Three Basic Principles of Environmental Justice. Paper presented at the XII Congress of the Spanish Association of Ethics and Political Philosophy held in Castellón from April 3 to 5, 2003. International Journal of Political Philosophy, No.21,103-120.
- Robles de Benito, R., Carabias, J. and Arellano, A. (2008). Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico. Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico. In J. Fraga, GJ Villalobos, S. Doyon, and A. García (coords.), Decentralization and environmental management. Coastal governance in Mexico, 35-56, Mexico: Cinvestav-IPN / Autonomous University of Campeche / International Development Research Center (IDRC) / Plaza y Valdés.
- Rosell, M. (2007). Environment and FTA. The Governance Pact. Cuad. Diffus. 12 (23), 143-154.
- Sáenz, Orlando (2007). Environmental Sciences: A new area of knowledge. Colombia: Colombian Network of Environmental Training.
- Sarokin, D. & Schulkin, J. (1994). Environmental Justice: Co-evolution of Environmental Concerns and Social Justice. The Environmentalist, volume 14, number 2, 121-129.
- SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." International Relations Magazine. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstract "International Court of the Environment Foundation (ICEF)" "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.
- Sobenes, A. and Vázquez, E. (2001). Schematic Diagnosis on the Situation of the Environmental Justice System and its Strengthening Agenda. Guatemala: FIPA-USAID, CIPREDA.
- Tyldesley, D. (2005). A handbook on environmental impact



- Valdivieso, J. (2005). The globalization of environmentalism. From ecocentrism to environmental justice. *Environment and Human Behavior* 6 (2), 183-204.
- Vásquez, A. and Salgado, M. (2009). Socio-economic inequalities and inequitable distribution of environmental risks in the municipalities of Peñalolén and San Pedro de la Paz. An environmental justice perspective. *Norte Grande Geography Magazine*, num. 43, 95-110.
- Velásquez, C. (2005). The Protection of the Urban Environment in the European Union. *Law Magazine*, No. 24, 156-186.
- Warner, K. (2002). Linking Local Sustainability Initiatives with Environmental Justice. *Local Environment*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 35-47.
- Weinberg, P. (2013) *Understanding Environmental Law*. United States, Lexis Nexis.
- Wende, N. (2013). CAFOS and Environmental Justice. The Case of North Carolina. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Volume 121, Number 6, A182-A189.
- Wu, S. & Heberling, M. (2013). The distribution of pollution and environmental justice in Puerto Rico: a quantitative analysis. *Popul Environ*. Vol. 35, issue 2, 113-132.
- Zaferatos, N. (2006). Environmental Justice in Indian Country: Dumpsite Remediation on the Swinomish Indian Reservation. *Environ Manage* 38, 896-909.
- Geiger V. A. and Ortega, R. A (2017). Natural resources and Mexican environmental legislation. *Autonomous University of Baja California Sur*.
- Ramírez Guevara, S. J., Galindo Mendoza, M. G., & Contreras Servín, C. (2015). Environmental Justice: Between utopia and social reality. *Cultural*, 3 (1), 225-250.
- Hervé, D. (2015). Environmental justice and natural resources. *Valparaíso: University Editions of Valparaíso*, 385.
- Zárate, F. T., & Martínez, F. G. (2018). Legal argumentation and its impact on judicial activity in Mexico. *Allegations*, 22 (68-69), 243-262.
- Botello, A. V. (2016). Marine pollution and the urgency of its legislation. *Institute of Marine Sciences and Limnology, UNAM*.





**UASLP**  
 Universidad Autónoma  
 de San Luis Potosí



Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
 Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
 Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
 Agenda Ambiental  
 Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

**B ) CONTENTS AND METHODS BY UNITS AND TOPICS**

<b>Unit 1 . Concepts and Definitions</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 1.1 Legislation and environment</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	1.1.1 Introduction 1.1. 2 Legislation and environment	
<b>Topic 1.2 Mexican environmental legal framework</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	1.2.1 General and federal laws 1.2.2 Mexican standards 1.2.3 Official Mexican Standards	

Bibliography and digital resources	Bibliography	
		<p><b>1.1 Legislation and environment</b></p> <p>Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). Environmental law. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.</p> <p>Leff, E. (2000). The Environmental Complexity. Mexico: Twenty-First Century Publishers.</p> <p>Morales, A. (1999). Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.</p> <p>Muriel, R. (2006). Environmental management. Sustainable idea Space for reflection and communication in sustainable development, year 3 No.13.</p> <p>Pérez, E. (2001). Environmental law. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.</p> <p>Sáenz, Orlando (2007). Environmental Sciences: A new area of knowledge. Colombia: Colombian Network of Environmental Training.</p> <p><b>1.2 Mexican environmental legal framework</b></p> <p>Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). Environmental law. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.</p> <p>Leff, E. (2000). The Environmental Complexity. Mexico: Twenty-First Century Publishers.</p> <p>Morales, A. (1999). Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.</p> <p>Muriel, R. (2006). Environmental management. Sustainable idea Space for reflection and communication in sustainable development, year 3 No.13.</p> <p>Pérez, E. (2001). Environmental law. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.</p> <p>Sáenz, Orlando (2007). Environmental Sciences: A new area of knowledge. Colombia: Colombian Network of Environmental Training.</p> <p>Sobenes, A. and Vázquez, E. (2001). Schematic Diagnosis on the Situation of the Environmental Justice System and its Strengthening Agenda. Guatemala: FIPA-USAID, CIPREDA.</p> <p><b>1.2.1 General and federal laws</b></p> <p>Carmona LM and Hernández, ML Selected Topics in Environmental Law, Coord., Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection, 2006</p>



	<p>Clifford S. Pusell and Philip T. Powel, Washington. The selection of environmental policy instruments. Theoretical problems and practical considerations. No. 6NV-102. Available at: <a href="https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf">https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf</a></p> <p>Escudero, NC Science, Law and Environment, Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, 2013</p> <p><b>1.2.2 Mexican standards</b></p> <p>Carmona LM and Hernández, ML Selected Topics in Environmental Law, Coord., Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection, 2006</p> <p>Clifford S. Pusell and Philip T. Powel, Washington. The selection of environmental policy instruments. Theoretical problems and practical considerations, No. 6NV-102. Available at: <a href="https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf">https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf</a></p> <p>Escudero, NC Science, Law and Environment, Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, 2013</p> <p><b>1.2.3 Official Mexican Standards</b></p> <p>Carmona LM and Hernández, ML Selected Topics in Environmental Law,, Coord., Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection, 2006</p> <p>Carrizales, L; Díaz - Barriga, F; Mejía, J; et al. (1999). Health effects associated with exposure to hazardous waste. Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí. Available at: <a href="http://ambiental.uaslp.mx/docs/FDB-ResPeligrosos.pdf">http://ambiental.uaslp.mx/docs/FDB-ResPeligrosos.pdf</a></p> <p>Clifford S. Pusell and Philip T. Powel, Washington. The selection of environmental policy instruments. Theoretical problems and practical considerations, No. 6NV-102. Available at: <a href="https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf">https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf</a></p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<b>Digital resources</b>	Escudero, NC Science, Law and Environment, Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, 2013.  Giannuzzo, A. (2020). Studies on the environment and environmental science. Scientiae Studia V.8 No.1. Available at: <a href="http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ss/v8n1/a06v8n1.pdf">http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ss/v8n1/a06v8n1.pdf</a>  Geiger V. A. y Ortega, R. A (2017). Los recursos naturales y la legislación ambiental mexicana. Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur.
<b>Teaching methods</b>	Presentation of topics through videos, power point presentations and conferences. The course will be developed mainly as a seminar-workshop. The content of the class will be delivered through readings and presentations in class and at home.	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Field Work Participation Oral presentations Investigation project	

<b>Unit 2 . Legislation on atmosphere, water, noise and soil</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 2 .1 Regulation of the atmosphere , water, noise and soil</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	2.1.1 Legal implications of environmental regulation 2.1.2 Principles in environmental material	
<b>Topic 2 .2 Legal framework</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	2.2.1 International instruments 2.2.2 Local regulations 2.3.1 Official standards and international standards	



<p><b>Bibliography and digital resources</b></p>	<p><b>Bibliography</b></p>	<p><b>2.1 Regulation of the atmosphere, water, noise and soil</b></p> <p><b>2.1.1 Legal implications of environmental regulation</b></p> <p>Botello, A. V. (2016). Marine pollution and the urgency of its legislation. Institute of Marine Sciences and Limnology, UNAM</p> <p>Carmona, M. (2000). Rights in relation to the environment. Mexico: Chamber of Deputies, LVIII Legislature-UNAM.</p> <p>Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). Environmental law. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.</p> <p>Leff, E. (2000). The Environmental Complexity. Mexico: Twenty-First Century Publishers.</p> <p>López, S. &amp; Ferro, A. (2006). Environmental law. Mexico, Iure Editores.</p> <p>Morales, A. (1999). Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.</p> <p>Muriel, R. (2006). Environmental management. Sustainable idea Space for reflection and communication in sustainable development, year 3 No.13.</p> <p>Pérez, E. (2001). Environmental law. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.</p> <p>Sáenz, Orlando (2007). Environmental Sciences: A new area of knowledge. Colombia: Colombian Network of Environmental Training.</p> <p>Sobenes, A. and Vázquez, E. (2001). Schematic Diagnosis on the Situation of the Environmental Justice System and its Strengthening Agenda. Guatemala: FIPA-USAID, CIPREDA.</p> <p><b>2.1.2 Principles in environmental material</b></p> <p>Carmona, M. (2000). Rights in relation to the environment. Mexico: Chamber of Deputies, LVIII Legislature-UNAM.</p> <p>Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). Environmental law. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.</p> <p>Jaquenod of Zsogon. "Environmental Law and its Guiding Principles". Monographs of the General Directorate of Environment. Ministry of Public Works and Urban Planning. Madrid. 1989. López, S. &amp; Ferro, A. (2006). Environmental law. Mexico, Iure Editores.</p> <p>Morales, A. (1999). Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.</p>
--------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Pérez, E. (2001). Environmental law. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.

Sobenes, A. and Vázquez, E. (2001). Schematic Diagnosis on the Situation of the Environmental Justice System and its Strengthening Agenda. Guatemala: FIPA-USAID, CIPREDA.

## **2.2 Legal framework**

### **2.2.1 International instruments**

Hasan, Gazi Saiful. (2013). Principles of International Environmental Law: Application in National Laws in Bangladesh.

Nyka, Maciej & Ciechanowicz-McLean, Janina. (2016). Environmental Law ("Law-Basic Concepts" series).

SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." International Relations Magazine. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstract "International Court of the Environment Foundation (ICEF)" "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.

Weinberg, P. (2013) Understanding Environmental Law. United States, Lexis Nexis.

### **2.2.2 Local regulations**

Jaquenod of Zsogon. "Environmental Law and its Guiding Principles". Monographs of the General Directorate of Environment. Ministry of Public Works and Urban Planning. Madrid. 1989

Weinberg, P. (2013) Understanding Environmental Law. United States, Lexis Nexis.

### **2.3.1 Official standards and international standards**

Asia-Pacific Agreement on clean development and climate

Convention on long-distance cross-border air pollution

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992.

FAO International Code of Conduct on the distribution and use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985.

Cartagena Protocol on biosecurity SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." International Relations Magazine. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstract "International Court of the



		<p>Environment Foundation (ICEF) "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.</p> <p>Weinberg, P. (2013) Understanding Environmental Law. United States, Lexis Nexis.</p>
<b>Teaching methods</b>	The exhibition will be carried out in person through the use of cannon and blackboard, with a practical approach through the implementation of various dynamics such as exposure, teamwork and case analysis.	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Field Work Participation Oral presentations	

<b>Unit 3 . Impact, risk and applicable legal means</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 3.1 Environmental Impact and Risk</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	3.1.1 Legal implications of environmental impact 3.1.2 Risk, damage and environmental repair	
<b>Topic 3.2 Applicable Legal Means</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	3.2.1 Legal instruments 3.2.2 Authorities and means of defense	

<b>Bibliography and digital resources</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<p><b>3.1.1 Legal implications of environmental impact</b></p> <p>Ramírez Guevara, S. J., Galindo Mendoza, M. G., &amp; Contreras Servín, C. (2015). Environmental Justice: Between utopia and social reality. <i>Cultural</i>, 3 (1), 225-250.</p> <p>Brookes, G. &amp; Bartfoot, P. (2005). GM Crops: The Global Economic and Environmental Impact — The First Nine Years 1996–2004. <i>AgBioForum</i>, 8 (2 &amp; 3): 187-196.</p> <p><i>Dreher, L. (2004). Health and Environmental Impact of Nanotechnology: Toxicological Assessment of Manufactured Nanoparticles. Toxicological Sciences</i> , Volume 77, Issue 1, January 2004, Pages 3–5</p> <p>Environmental Impact Assessment. (2012). FAO. Rome.</p> <p>Tyldesley, D. (2005). A handbook on environmental impact assessment. Edinburgh, Scottish Natural Heritage.</p> <p><b>3.1.2 Risk, damage and environmental repair</b></p> <p>Caminada, R. (2012). Environmental law from a perspective of human rights and effective government management. <i>VOX JURIS</i>, Lima (Peru) 24 (2): 17-36.</p> <p>Friedmann, Wolfgang, The new structure of international law, part 3, chap. 11, Ed. Trillas, Mexico, 1967.</p> <p>García López, T. (2007). The principle of reparation of environmental damage in public international law. An approach to its reception by Mexican law. <i>Mexican Yearbook of International Law</i>, 1 (7). doi: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/ijj.24487872e.2007.7.207">http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/ijj.24487872e.2007.7.207</a></p>
	<b>Digital resources</b>	<b>Rio Declaration on Environment and Development</b>
<b>Teaching methods</b>	The exhibition will be carried out in person through the use of cannon and blackboard, with a practical approach through the implementation of various dynamics such as exposure, teamwork and case analysis	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Field Work Participation Oral presentations	

<b>Unit 4 . Access to environmental justice</b>	<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 4 .1 Environmental Justice, conceptualization and principles</b>	<b>6h</b>



<b>Subtopic</b>	4.1.1 Environmental Justice 4.1.2 Principles of Environmental Justice	
<b>Topic 4.2 Access to Environmental Justice</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	4.2.1 Means of Defense 4.2.2 Pathways for access to justice	
<b>Bibliography and digital resources</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<p><b>4.1 Environmental Justice, conceptualization and principles</b></p> <p><b>4.1.1 Environmental Justice</b></p> <p>Adshead, J. (2013). Doing Justice to the Environment. The Journal of Criminal Law, 77, Issue 3, 215-230.</p> <p>Arriaga, A. and Pardo, M. (2011). Environmental Justice: The state of the matter. RIS, Vol. 69, No. 3, 627-648.</p> <p>Bowen, W. (2002). An Analytical Review of Environmental Justice Research: What do We Really Know? Environmental Management, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 3-15.</p> <p>Eckerd, A. &amp; Keeler, A. (2012). Going green together? Brownfield remediation and environmental justice. Policy Sci 45, 293-314</p> <p>Esnard, A., Gelobter, M. &amp; Morales, X. (2004). Environmental Justice, GIS, and Pedagogy. Cartographica, volume 38, # 3 &amp; 4, Fall / Winter 2001. Published March 2004, pp. 53-61.</p> <p>Faber, D. &amp; McCarthy, D. (2001). The Evolving Structure of Environmental Justice Movement in the United States: New Models for Democratic Decision-Making. Social Justice Research, Vol. 14, No. 4, December, 405-421.</p> <p>Gould, K., Weinberg, A. &amp; Schnaiberg, A. (1993). Legitimizing Impotence: Pyrrhic Victories of the Modern Environmental Movement. Qualitative Sociology, Vol. 16, No. 3, 207-246.</p> <p>Grineski, S. &amp; Collins, T. (2008). Exploring patterns of environmental injustice in the Global South: Maquiladoras in Ciudad Juarez. Popul Environ 29, 247-270.</p> <p>Gupta, J. and Sanchez, N. (2012). Global Green Governance: Embedding the Green Economy in a Global Green and Equitable Rule of Law Policy. RECIEL 21 (1), 12-22.</p>



- H. City Council of San Luis Potosí. Recovered from <http://www.sanluis.gob.mx/>.
- Hervé, D. (2015). Environmental justice and natural resources. Valparaíso: University Editions of Valparaíso, 385
- Hiskes, R. (2006). Environmental Human Rights and Intergenerational Justice. *Human Rights Review*, April-June, 81-95.
- Honberg, C. & Pauli, A. (2007). Child poverty and environmental justice. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 210, 571-580.
- Johnson, M. & Niemeyer, E. (2008). Ambivalent Landscapes: Environmental Justice in the US-Mexico Borderlands. *Hum. Ecol.* 36, 371-382.
- Moreno, A. (2010). Environmental Justice From concept to application in Planning and Analysis of Territorial Policies. *Scriva Nova, Electronic Journal of Geography and Social Sciences*. [Online] Barcelona: University of Barcelona, March 1, 2010, Vol. XIV, no. 316
- Mundin, M. (2011). Access to Environmental Justice: A Closer Look at the Rules of Procedure for Environmental Cases. *Ateneo Law Journal*, Vol. 55, issue 4, 1066-1090.
- Ortega, M. (2002). Origin and evolution of the environmental justice movement. *Political Ecology*, No. 41, 17-24.
- Pearce, J., Richadson, E., Mitchell, R. & Shortt, N. (2010). Environmental justice and health: the implications of socio-spatial distribution of multiple environmental deprivation for health inequalities in the United Kingdom. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers NS* 35, 522-539.
- Pedersen, O. (2011). Environmental justice in the UK: uncertainty, ambiguity and the law. *Legal Studies*, Vol 3.1, No. 2 June. 279-304.
- Ponce, D. (2012). Procuration and access to environmental and territorial justice in Mexico. *Electronic Publication Institute of Legal Research, UNAM*, num.6, 112-124.

Principles of Environmental Justice. Recovered from  
<http://www.ejnet.org/ej/principles.html>

Puraité, A. (2012). Origins of Environmental Regulation. *Jurisprudence*, 19 (2), 657-674.

Riechman, J. (2003). Three Basic Principles of Environmental Justice. Paper presented at the XII Congress of the Spanish Association of Ethics and Political Philosophy held in Castellón from April 3 to 5, 2003. *International Journal of Political Philosophy*, No.21, 103-120.

Robles de Benito, R., Carabias, J. and Arellano, A. (2008). Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico. *Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico*. In J. Fraga, GJ Villalobos, S. Doyon, and A. García (coords.), *Decentralization and environmental management. Coastal governance in Mexico*, 35-56, Mexico: Cinvestav-IPN / Autonomous University of Campeche / International Development Research Center (IDRC) / Plaza y Valdés.

Rosell, M. (2007). Environment and FTA. *The Governance Pact. Cuad. Diffus.* 12 (23), 143-154.

Sarokin, D. & Schulkin, J. (1994). Environmental Justice: Co-evolution of Environmental Concerns and Social Justice. *The Environmentalist*, volume 14, number 2, 121-129.

Valdivieso, J. (2005). The globalization of environmentalism. From ecocentrism to environmental justice. *Environment and Human Behavior* 6 (2), 183-204.

Vásquez, A. and Salgado, M. (2009). Socio-economic inequalities and inequitable distribution of environmental risks in the municipalities of Peñalolén and San Pedro de la Paz. An environmental justice perspective. *Norte Grande Geography Magazine*, num. 43, 95-110.

Velásquez, C. (2005). The Protection of the Urban Environment in the European Union. *Law Magazine*, No. 24, 156-186.

Warner, K. (2002). Linking Local Sustainability Initiatives with Environmental Justice. *Local Environment*, Vol. 7, No. 1, 35-47.



Wende, N. (2013). CAFOS and Environmental Justice. The Case of North Carolina. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Volume 121, Number 6, A182-A189.

Wu, S. & Heberling, M. (2013). The distribution of pollution and environmental justice in Puerto Rico: a quantitative analysis. *Popul Environ*. Vol. 35, issue 2, 113-132.

Zaferatos, N. (2006). Environmental Justice in Indian Country: Dumpsite Remediation on the Swinomish Indian Reservation. *Environ Manage* 38, 896-909.

Zárate, F. T., & Martínez, F. G. (2018). Legal argumentation and its impact on judicial activity in Mexico. *Allegations*, 22 (68-69), 243-262.

#### **4.1.2 Principles of Environmental Justice**

Adshead, J. (2013). Doing Justice to the Environment. *The Journal of Criminal Law*, 77, Issue 3, 215-230.

Arriaga, A. and Pardo, M. (2011). Environmental Justice: The state of the matter. *RIS*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 627-648.

Bowen, W. (2002). An Analytical Review of Environmental Justice Research: What do We Really Know? *Environmental Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 3-15.

Eckerd, A. & Keeler, A. (2012). Going green together? Brownfield remediation and environmental justice. *Policy Sci* 45, 293-314

Esnard, A., Gelobter, M. & Morales, X. (2004). Environmental Justice, GIS, and Pedagogy. *Cartographica*, volume 38, # 3 & 4, Fall / Winter 2001. Published March 2004, pp. 53-61.

Faber, D. & McCarthy, D. (2001). The Evolving Structure of Environmental Justice Movement in the United States: New Models for Democratic Decision-Making. *Social Justice Research*, Vol. 14, No. 4, December, 405-421.

Gould, K., Weinberg, A. & Schnaiberg, A. (1993). Legitimizing Impotence: Pyrrhic Victories of the Modern Environmental Movement. *Qualitative Sociology*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 207-246.

Grineski, S. & Collins, T. (2008). Exploring patterns of environmental injustice in the Global South: Maquiladoras in Ciudad Juarez. *Popul Environ* 29, 247-270.

Gupta, J. and Sanchez, N. (2012). Global Green Governance: Embedding the Green Economy in a Global Green and Equitable Rule of Law Policy. *RECIEL* 21 (1), 12-22.

H. City Council of San Luis Potosí. Recovered from <http://www.sanluis.gob.mx/>.

Hiskes, R. (2006). Environmental Human Rights and Intergenerational Justice. *Human Rights Review*, April-June, 81-95.

Honberg, C. & Pauli, A. (2007). Child poverty and environmental justice. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 210, 571-580.

Johnson, M. & Niemeyer, E. (2008). Ambivalent Landscapes: Environmental Justice in the US-Mexico Borderlands. *Hum. Ecol.* 36, 371-382.

#### **4.2 Access to Environmental Justice**

##### **4.2.1 Means of Defense**

Moreno, A. (2010). Environmental Justice From concept to application in Planning and Analysis of Territorial Policies. *Scrita Nova, Electronic Journal of Geography and Social Sciences*. [Online] Barcelona: University of Barcelona, March 1, 2010, Vol. XIV, no. 316

Mundin, M. (2011). Access to Environmental Justice: A Closer Look at the Rules of Procedure for Environmental Cases. *Ateneo Law Journal*, Vol. 55, issue 4, 1066-1090.

Ortega, M. (2002). Origin and evolution of the environmental justice movement. *Political Ecology*, No. 41, 17-24

##### **4.2.2 Pathways for access to justice**

	<p>Gould, K., Weinberg, A. &amp; Schnaiberg, A. (1993). Legitimizing Impotence: Pyrrhic Victories of the Modern Environmental Movement. <i>Qualitative Sociology</i>, Vol. 16, No. 3, 207-246.</p> <p>Millner, F. (2011). Access to Environmental justice. <i>Deakin Law Review</i>, volume 16, No. 1, 189-207.</p> <p>Mohai, P. &amp; Saha, R. (2006). Reassessing racial and socioeconomic disparities in environmental justice research. <i>Demography</i>, Volume 43, number 2, 383-399.</p> <p>International Court of Arbitration and Environmental Conciliation</p> <p>Inter-American Court of Human Rights</p> <p>International Court of Justice</p>
<b>Teaching methods</b>	The exhibition will be carried out in person through the use of cannon and blackboard, with a practical approach through the implementation of various dynamics such as exposure, teamwork and case analysis
<b>Learning activities</b>	Field Work Participation Oral presentations

### C ) TEACHING AND LEARNING STRATEGIES

The course will mainly be set up as a seminar-workshop. The main attraction of this method lies in the possibility of a collective reflection on each of the issues overviewed in the program, based on certain key concepts derived from readings and presentations in class. The course will be dynamic and participatory, based on discussions.

The professor also provides theoretical presentations and introduces the new topic.

The experience of a personal reading is enhanced by the synergy of collective reflection.

A large and active student participation is expected in-group discussions both in class, in the field and/or on the website (post comments in discussion forums or links, keep communication, answering surveys, etc.).

This participation should be guided by the following criteria:

- ✓ Content and argumentation
- ✓ Tolerance and openness
- ✓ Cooperation
- ✓ Focus on the issues raised
- ✓ Continuity

#### D ) EVALUATION AND ACCREDITATION

Preparation and / or presentation of:	Periodicity	Covers	Weighting of each partial in relation to the ordinary
<b>First partial exam:</b> Oral essay presentation	At the end of Unit 2	Units 1 and 2	20%
<b>Second partial exam:</b> Written essay presentation	At the end of Unit 3	Unit 3	20%
<b>Third partial exam:</b> Final essay presentation	At the end of Unit 4	Unit 4	20%
<b>Research work</b>	-	-	40%
<b>TOTAL</b>			100%
<b>Ordinary exam</b>	The ordinary final grade will correspond to the weighted average of the three partial evaluations (20% each) and a research paper (40%): 100%		
<b>Other academic activities required</b>	Special non-mandatory activities will not have a value for the qualification of partial evaluations. This consists of attending special events on the subject or participation as organizers in events of the discipline, whether from the Faculty or outside it as dissemination and training activities		

#### E ) BIBLIOGRAPHY AND COMPUTER RESOURCES

##### Basic texts

Asia-Pacific Agreement on clean development and climate

Adshead, J. (2013). Doing Justice to the Environment. *The Journal of Criminal Law*, 77, Issue 3, 215-230.

Arriaga, A. and Pardo, M. (2011). Environmental Justice: The state of the matter. *RIS*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 627-648.

Bowen, W. (2002). An Analytical Review of Environmental Justice Research: What do We Really Know? *Environmental Management*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 3-15.

Brookes, G. & Bartfoot, P. (2005). GM Crops: The Global Economic and Environmental Impact — The First Nine Years 1996–2004. *AgBioForum*, 8 (2 & 3): 187-196.

- Caminada, R. (2012). ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FROM A PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND EFFECTIVE GOVERNMENTAL MANAGEMENT. VOX JURIS, Lima (Peru) 24 (2): 17-36.
- Carmona LM and Hernández, ML Selected Topics in Environmental Law, Coord., Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection, 2006
- Carmona, M. (2000). Rights in relation to the environment. Mexico: Chamber of Deputies, LVIII Legislature-UNAM.
- Carrizales, L; Díaz - Barriga, F; Mejía, J; et al. (1999). Health effects associated with exposure to hazardous waste. Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí. Available at: <http://ambiental.uaslp.mx/docs/FDB-ResPeligrosos.pdf>
- Clifford S. Pusell and Philip T. Powel, Washington. The selection of environmental policy instruments. Theoretical problems and practical considerations. No. 6NV-102. Available at: <https://www.cepal.org/ilpes/noticias/paginas/8/35988/seleccioninstrumentospoliticaambiental.pdf>
- Convention on long-distance cross-border air pollution
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992.
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- International Court of Arbitration and Environmental Conciliation
- International Court of Justice
- Dreher, L. (2004). Health and Environmental Impact of Nanotechnology: Toxicological Assessment of Manufactured Nanoparticles. Toxicological Sciences, Volume 77, Issue 1, January 2004, Pages 3–5
- Eckerd, A. & Keeler, A. (2012). Going green together? Brownfield remediation and environmental justice. Policy Sci 45, 293-314
- Environmental Impact Assessment. (2012). FAO. Rome.
- Escudero, NC Science, Law and Environment, Institute of Legal Research, UNAM, 2013.
- Esnard, A., Gelobter, M. & Morales, X. (2004). Environmental Justice, GIS, and Pedagogy. Cartographica, volume 38, # 3 & 4, Fall / Winter 2001. Published March 2004, pp. 53-61.
- Faber, D. & McCarthy, D. (2001). The Evolving Structure of Environmental Justice Movement in the United States: New Models for Democratic Decision-Making. Social Justice Research, Vol. 14, No. 4, December, 405-421.



- FAO International Code of Conduct on the distribution and use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985.
- Friedmann, Wolfgang, The new structure of international law, part 3, chap. 11, Ed. Trillas, Mexico, 1967.
- García López, T. (2007). The principle of reparation of environmental damage in public international law. An approach to its reception by Mexican law. *Mexican Yearbook of International Law*, 1 (7). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/ij.24487872e.2007.7.207>
- Giannuzzo, A. (2020). Studies on the environment and environmental science. *Scientiae Studia* V.8 No.1. Available at: <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ss/v8n1/a06v8n1.pdf>
- Gould, K., Weinberg, A. & Schnaiberg, A. (1993). Legitimizing Impotence: Pyrrhic Victories of the Modern Environmental Movement. *Qualitative Sociology*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 207-246.
- Grineski, S. & Collins, T. (2008). Exploring patterns of environmental injustice in the Global South: Maquiladoras in Ciudad Juarez. *Popul Environ* 29, 247-270.
- Gupta, J. and Sanchez, N. (2012). Global Green Governance: Embedding the Green Economy in a Global Green and Equitable Rule of Law Policy. *RECIEL* 21 (1), 12-22.
- H. City Council of San Luis Potosí. Recovered from <http://www.sanluis.gob.mx/>.
- Hasan, Gazi Saiful. (2013). Principles of International Environmental Law: Application in National Laws in Bangladesh.
- Hiskes, R. (2006). Environmental Human Rights and Intergenerational Justice. *Human Rights Review*, April-June, 81-95.
- Honberg, C. & Pauli, A. (2007). Child poverty and environmental justice. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 210, 571-580.
- Jaquenod de Zsogon, S. (2004). Environmental law. Madrid: Ed. Dykinson.
- Johnson, M. & Niemeyer, E. (2008). Ambivalent Landscapes: Environmental Justice in the US-Mexico Borderlands. *Hum. Ecol.* 36, 371-382.
- Leff, E. (2000). The Environmental Complexity. Mexico: Twenty-First Century Publishers.
- López, S. & Ferro, A. (2006). Environmental law. Mexico, Iure Editores.
- Millner, F. (2011). Access to Environmental justice. *Deakin Law Review*, volume 16, No. 1, 189-207.
- Mohai, P. & Saha, R. (2006). Reassessing racial and socioeconomic disparities in environmental justice research. *Demography*, Volume 43, number 2, 383-399.

- Morales, A. (1999). Environmental Law Environmental Policy and Management Instruments. Cordoba: Ed. Alveroni.
- Moreno, A. (2010). Environmental Justice From concept to application in Planning and Analysis of Territorial Policies. *Scrita Nova, Electronic Journal of Geography and Social Sciences*. [Online] Barcelona: University of Barcelona, March 1, 2010, Vol. XIV, no. 316
- Mundin, M. (2011). Access to Environmental Justice: A Closer Look at the Rules of Procedure for Environmental Cases. *Ateneo Law Journal*, Vol. 55, issue 4, 1066-1090.
- Muriel, R. (2006). Environmental management. Sustainable idea Space for reflection and communication in sustainable development, year 3 No.13.
- Nyka, Maciej & Ciechanowicz-McLean, Janina. (2016). Environmental Law ("Law-Basic Concepts" series).
- Ortega, M. (2002). Origin and evolution of the environmental justice movement. *Political Ecology*, No. 41, 17-24
- Pearce, J., Richadson, E., Mitchell, R. & Shortt, N. (2010). Environmental justice and health: the implications of socio-spatial distribution of multiple environmental deprivation for health inequalities in the United Kingdom. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers NS 35*, 522-539.
- Pedersen, O. (2011). Environmental justice in the UK: uncertainty, ambiguity and the law. *Legal Studies*, Vol 3.1, No. 2 June. 279-304.
- Pérez, E. (2001). Environmental law. Colombia: Ed. Mc Graw Hill Interamerican.
- Ponce, D. (2012). Procuration and access to environmental and territorial justice in Mexico. *Electronic Publication Institute of Legal Research, UNAM*, num.6, 112-124.
- Principles of Environmental Justice. Recovered from <http://www.ejnet.org/ej/principles.html>
- Cartagena Protocol on biosecurity SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." *International Relations Magazine*. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstrac "International Court of the Environment Foundation (ICEF)" "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.
- Puraité, A. (2012). Origins of Environmental Regulation. *Jurisprudence*, 19 (2), 657-674.
- Riechman, J. (2003). Three Basic Principles of Environmental Justice. Paper presented at the XII Congress of the Spanish Association of Ethics and Political Philosophy held in Castellón from April 3 to 5, 2003. *International Journal of Political Philosophy*, No.21,103-120.

- Robles de Benito, R., Carabias, J. and Arellano, A. (2008). Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico. Agenda 21 and decentralization in Mexico. In J. Fraga, GJ Villalobos, S. Doyon, and A. García (coords.), Decentralization and environmental management. Coastal governance in Mexico, 35-56, Mexico: Cinvestav-IPN / Autonomous University of Campeche / International Development Research Center (IDRC) / Plaza y Valdés.
- Rosell, M. (2007). Environment and FTA. The Governance Pact. Cuad. Diffus. 12 (23), 143-154.
- Sáenz, Orlando (2007). Environmental Sciences: A new area of knowledge. Colombia: Colombian Network of Environmental Training.
- Sarokin, D. & Schulkin, J. (1994). Environmental Justice: Co-evolution of Environmental Concerns and Social Justice. The Environmentalist, volume 14, number 2, 121-129.
- SERVI. "Towards an Age of Limits." International Relations Magazine. n. 6 Year 4. May 1994. IRI. UNLP Page 95. Also published in abstract "International Court of the Environment Foundation (ICEF)" "Towards the World Government of the Environment" a cura di Amedeo POSTIGLIONE. Rome, 1995.
- Sobenes, A. and Vázquez, E. (2001). Schematic Diagnosis on the Situation of the Environmental Justice System and its Strengthening Agenda. Guatemala: FIPA-USAID, CIPREDA.
- Tyldesley, D. (2005). A handbook on environmental impact
- Valdivieso, J. (2005). The globalization of environmentalism. From ecocentrism to environmental justice. Environment and Human Behavior 6 (2), 183-204.
- Vásquez, A. and Salgado, M. (2009). Socio-economic inequalities and inequitable distribution of environmental risks in the municipalities of Peñalolén and San Pedro de la Paz. An environmental justice perspective. Norte Grande Geography Magazine, num. 43, 95-110.
- Velásquez, C. (2005). The Protection of the Urban Environment in the European Union. Law Magazine, No. 24, 156-186.
- Warner, K. (2002). Linking Local Sustainability Initiatives with Environmental Justice. Local Environment, Vol. 7, No. 1, 35-47.
- Weinberg, P. (2013) Understanding Environmental Law. United States, Lexis Nexis.
- Wende, N. (2013). CAFOS and Environmental Justice. The Case of North Carolina. Environmental Health Perspectives, Volume 121, Number 6, A182-A189.



**UASLP**  
Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**  
Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

---

- Wu, S. & Heberling, M. (2013). The distribution of pollution and environmental justice in Puerto Rico: a quantitative analysis. *Popul Environ.* Vol. 35, issue 2, 113-132.
- Zaferatos, N. (2006). Environmental Justice in Indian Country: Dumpsite Remediation on the Swinomish Indian Reservation. *Environ Manage* 38, 896-909.
- Geiger V. A. and Ortega, R. A (2017). Natural resources and Mexican environmental legislation. *Autonomous University of Baja California Sur.*
- Ramírez Guevara, S. J., Galindo Mendoza, M. G., & Contreras Servín, C. (2015). Environmental Justice: Between utopia and social reality. *Cultural*, 3 (1), 225-250.
- Hervé, D. (2015). Environmental justice and natural resources. Valparaíso: University Editions of Valparaíso, 385.
- Zárate, F. T., & Martínez, F. G. (2018). Legal argumentation and its impact on judicial activity in Mexico. *Allegations*, 22 (68-69), 243-262.
- Botello, A. V. (2016). Marine pollution and the urgency of its legislation. Institute of Marine Sciences and Limnology, UNAM.