



**A) COURSE NAME: COMMISSION OF PUBLIC SERVICES**

Synthetic Program									
Commission of Public Services									
General information									
Type of proposal to curriculum:	New	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restructuring	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adjustment				
Type of subject:	Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/>	Optative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Complementary				
Matter shared with another EP or academic entity	( <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ) No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	What PE is shared? _____							
Produced by:									
Revisado por:									
Semester	Hours of theory per week	Hours of practice per week	Hours additional work student per week	Credits					
	3	1	1	6					
Overall objective	Analyze contemporary developments and contemporary advanced research, addressing not only the traditional "public sector", but also the voluntary / nonprofit sector (NGOs, charities) and the provision of quasi-market (quasi-market provision) in an international context.								
Specific objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Apply their knowledge about the provision of public services as an instrument to improve the use of resources in cities and address the most environmental problems.</li> </ul>								



**UASLP**

Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**

Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

Synthetic Program		
Specific professional competence (s) that the subject develops	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Learn to analyze the relationship between the provision of public services and urban areas from a complex thinking and a transdisciplinary perspective</li> </ul>	
Performance tasks of the specific professional competence to those which contribute to develop the subject	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To understand the key contributions being made by the social sciences to understand and analyze public services. This includes the ways in which the different public services share their characteristics, the adequate distribution of their tasks and their strategies, as well as the way in which they differ from one another in key aspects.</li> <li>To explore an international and historical perspective of the definition and meaning of public services, and the role of the public sector in providing their services.</li> <li>To develop a selective and comparative perspective, from an international perspective, the political and historical origins of the contemporary public services sector.</li> </ul>	
Transversal professional competence (s) that contribute to the development of the subject	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To understand of the key contributions made by the social sciences to understand and execution of public services. This will include the ways in which different public services share common characteristics and appropriate administration and delivery strategies, and also how they can differ from each other in key aspects.</li> <li>To develop leadership skills in students, using leadership development exercises and group work, and demonstrating how this can be a means to transform organizations.</li> <li>To promote a discussion space where different perspectives are approached from a multidisciplinary perspective.</li> </ul>	
Units	Units	Contents

	<b>1. Objectives and scope of the policy</b>	Definition and meaning of public services and the public sector: an international vision. Objectives and scope of the policy
	<b>2. Policy analysis and innovation in the Public Sector</b>	Policy Analysis in the Public Sector Conceptual framework
	<b>3. Multidisciplinary perspective in the provision of public services</b>	Environment Government Economy and Health
	<b>4. Empirical evidence on policies that support innovation within the public sector</b>	Institutions and innovation Global trends Case studies
<b>Method and practice</b>	<b>Method</b>	Presentation of the topics through videos, power point presentations, readings, discussion groups, written essays and the analysis of case studies in an integrated way.
	<b>Practices</b>	
<b>Evaluation method</b>	<b>Examen parcial</b>	Unit 1 and 2: 20% Unit 3 : 20% Unit 4 : 20%
	<b>Final exam</b>	Final test: 40%
	<b>Other activities</b>	
<b>References and digital resources</b>	<b>References</b>	Adelman, I. (1999): Fallacies in Development Theory and Their Implications for Policy. Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Policy Division of Agricultural and Natural Resources University of California At Berkeley. Working Paper No. 887  Antúnez Sánchez, A. F., & Domingos Víctor, J. (2013). El control ambiental, un servicio público para el desarrollo local como política estatal para el desarrollo sostenible. Nómadas. Revista



**UASLP**

Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**

Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

		<p>Crítica de Ciencias Sociales y Jurídicas, 38(0). <a href="https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_NOMA.2013.v38.42915">https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_NOMA.2013.v38.42915</a></p> <p>Bason, C. (2010): Leading Public Sector Innovation – Co-creating for a better society. The Policy Press, 2010</p> <p>Bailey, S. J. – Valkama, P. – Anttiroiko, A-V. (ed.) (2010): Innovations in Financing Public Services. Country Case Studies. Palgrave Macmillan</p> <p>Bailey, S. J. (2011): Innovative Models for Funding Public Sector Infrastructure: UK Case Study. A Special Report for KUPERA Project.</p> <p>Beach, Lee Roy, (1998). Image Theory: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations.</p> <p>Bekkers, V. – Edelenbos, J. – Steijn, B. (ed.) (2011): Innovation in the Public Sector – Linking Capacity and Leadership. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011</p> <p>Cunningham, P. – Karakasidou, A. (2009): Innovation in the Public Sector. Policy Brief No. 2 (2009). European Trend Chart on Innovation Policy, August 2009</p> <p>Doherty, tland horne, t. (2002) Public Services Management, london: routledge.</p> <p>Flynn, N. (2002) Public Sector Management, harlow: Pearson education.</p> <p>Fountain, J. E. (2001): Paradoxes of public sector customer service. Governance, Vol. 14, pp. 55-73</p> <p>Goldsmith, Stephen and Eggers, William (2004). Governing by Network: The New Shape of the Public Sector.</p> <p>Le grand, J Motivation, (2003), Agency and Public Policy.</p> <p>Mulgan, G. (2009): The art of public strategy. Oxford: Oxford University Press</p>
--	--	--



**UASLP**

Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**

Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

	<p>Oxford review of Economic Policy, (2003) Issue on Financing and Managing Public Services, Summer</p> <p>Potts, J. – Kastelle, T. (2010): Public sector innovation research: What's next? Innovation: management, policy &amp; practice (2010) Vol. 12, pp.122-137.</p> <p>Potts, J. (2009): The innovation deficit in public services. Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice, Vol. 11, No. 1. pp.34-43.</p> <p>Rius, A. (1992). Servicios públicos y reforma fiscal ambiental en América Latina: Oportunidades y desafíos. Gestión y Política Pública, 25(1), 245–297. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S1405-10792016000100007">http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S1405-10792016000100007</a></p> <p>Røste, R. (2004): Studies of innovation in the public sector, a literature review. PUBLIN Working Paper, Delivery D8, version2</p> <p>Rubalcaba, L. – Hipp, C. (2010): Organisational innovation in services. Europe INNOVA Sectoral Innovation Watch,Horizontal reports</p> <p>Yuen, Y. H. (2007): Overview of Knowledge Management in the Public Sector. 7th Global Forum on Reinventing</p> <p>Paz, E. C., &amp; Lebrero, J. C. R. (2016). La innovación social desde el ámbito público: Conceptos, experiencias y obstáculos. Gestión y análisis de políticas públicas.</p> <p>Vidal, J. P. (2016). Modelos innovadores de administración y gestión pública: Hacia la emergencia de nuevos paradigmas. Gestión y análisis de Políticas Públicas.</p> <p>Martí, M., Blanco, I., &amp; Parés i Franzi, M. (2016). Regeneración urbana y gobernanza. ¿ Cómo evaluar la participación en una red de gobernanza? Tres perspectivas teóricas y un estudio de caso. Participación, políticas públicas y territorio, 27-52.</p>
--	---

		Cejudo, G., Dussauge, M., & Michel, C. (2016). La innovación en el sector público: Tendencias internacionales y experiencias mexicanas. Ciudad de México: CIDE
	<b>Digital resources</b>	<p>Cardozo Brum, M. I. (2006). La evaluación de políticas y programas públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Camara de Diputados website:  <a href="http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval_pol_prog_pub.pdf">http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval_pol_prog_pub.pdf</a></p> <p>Ministerio de la Presidencia. (2009). Guía para la evaluación de la calidad de los Servicios Públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Gobierno de España website:  <a href="http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia_evaluacion_calidad.pdf">http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia_evaluacion_calidad.pdf</a></p>

## B) CONTENTS AND METHODS BY UNITS AND TOPICS

<b>Unit 1. Concepts of Public Services and the Public Sector</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 1. General public services</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	1.1.1 Definition and meaning of public services	
	1.1.2 Definition and meaning of the public sector	
<b>Topic 1.2 Objectives and scope of the policy</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	1.2.1 Patterns of public service over time	
	1.2.2 Cases based on historical and spatial perspective	
<b>References and digital resources</b>	<b>References</b>	<p>Adelman, I. (1999): Fallacies in Development Theory and Their Implications for Policy. Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Policy Division of Agricultural and Natural Resources University of California At Berkeley. Working Paper No. 887</p> <p>Beach, Lee Roy, (1998). Image Theory: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations.</p> <p>Flynn, N. (2002) Public Sector Management, harlow: Pearson education.</p>

	<b>Digital resources</b>	
<b>Teaching methods</b>	Presentation of the topics through videos, power point presentations, readings, discussion groups, written essays and the analysis of case studies in an integrated way.	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Participation Field work Oral presentations Research project	

<b>Unit 2. Policy analysis and innovation in the Public Sector</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 2 .1 Policy Analysis in the Public Sector</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b> 2.1.1 Introduction: The growing interest in the public sector 2.1.2 Politics in the public sector		
<b>Topic 2.2 Theoretical and conceptual framework</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	2.2.1 Conceptual framework for the analysis of support policies in the public sector 2.2.2 The specific characteristics of public sector innovation 2.2.3 Motor potentials and barriers of the public sector innovation policy.	
<b>References and digital resources</b>	<b>References</b>	Bason, C. (2010): Leading Public Sector Innovation – Co-creating for a better society. The Policy Press, 2010  Cejudo, G., Dussauge, M., & Michel, C. (2016). La innovación en el sector público: Tendencias internacionales y experiencias mexicanas. Ciudad de México: CIDE.  Doherty, tland horne, t. (2002) Public Services Management, london: routledge  Le grand, J Motivation, (2003), Agency and Public Policy.  Mulgan, G. (2009): The art of public strategy. Oxford: Oxford University Press  Potts, J. (2009): The innovation deficit in public services. Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice, Vol. 11, No. 1. pp.34-43.

	<b>Digital resources</b>	Cardozo Brum, M. I. (2006). La evaluación de políticas y programas públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Camara de Diputados website: <a href="http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval_pol_prog_pub.pdf">http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval_pol_prog_pub.pdf</a>
<b>Teaching methods</b>	Presentation of the topics through videos, power point presentations, readings, discussion groups, written essays and the analysis of case studies in an integrated way.	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Field work Oral presentations Research project	

<b>Unit 3. Multidisciplinary perspective in the provision of public services</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 3.1 Environment</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	3.1.1 Environment, livelihoods and development in the 'South' 3.1.2 Urban regeneration 3.1.3 Evidence based on decision making in health care and the environment	
<b>Topic 3.2 Government</b>		<b>3h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	3.2.1 Policy, government and regulation in public service management	
<b>Topic 3.3 Economy and health</b>		<b>3h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	3.3.1 Economics and Politics of Health	
<b>References and digital resources</b>	<b>References</b>	Antúnez Sánchez, A. F., & Domingos Víctor, J. (2013). El control ambiental, un servicio público para el desarrollo local como política estatal para el desarrollo sostenible. Nómadas. Revista Crítica de Ciencias Sociales y Jurídicas, 38(0). <a href="https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_NOMA.2013.v38.42915">https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_NOMA.2013.v38.42915</a>  Fountain, J. E. (2001): Paradoxes of public sector customer service. Governance, Vol. 14, pp. 55-73  Goldsmith, Stephen and Eggers, William (2004). Governing by Network: The New Shape of the Public Sector.  Rius, A. (1992). Servicios públicos y reforma fiscal ambiental en América Latina: Oportunidades y desafíos. Gestión y Política Pública, 25(1), 245–297. Retrieved from

		<a href="http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S1405-10792016000100007">http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&amp;pid=S1405-10792016000100007</a>  Martí, M., Blanco, I., & Parés i Franzi, M. (2016). Regeneración urbana y gobernanza. ¿Cómo evaluar la participación en una red de gobernanza? Tres perspectivas teóricas y un estudio de caso. Participación, políticas públicas y territorio, 27-52. <b>Digital resources</b>
<b>Teaching methods</b>	Presentation of the topics through videos, power point presentations, readings, discussion groups, written essays and the analysis of case studies in an integrated way.	
<b>Learning activities</b>	Participation Field work Research project	

<b>Unit 4. Empirical evidence on policies that support innovation within the public sector</b>		<b>12h</b>
<b>Topic 4.1 Institutionality and innovation</b>		<b>4h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	4.1.1 Institutional structure and innovation patterns. 4.1.2 Evaluation of policies / initiatives in support of public sector innovation	
<b>Topic 4.2 Global trends</b>		<b>2h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	4.2.1 Global trends in promoting public sector innovation	
<b>Topic 4.3 Case studies</b>		<b>6h</b>
<b>Subtopic</b>	4.3.1 Innovation case studies of the public sector. 4.3.2 Prospective analysis of case studies based on climate change and new technologies 4.3.3 Scenario-based case analysis	
<b>References and digital resources</b>	<b>References</b>	Bailey, S. J. – Valkama, P. – Anttiroiko, A-V. (ed.) (2010): Innovations in Financing Public Services. Country Case Studies. Palgrave Macmillan  Bailey, S. J. (2011): Innovative Models for Funding Public Sector Infrastructure: UK Case Study. A Special Report for KUPERA Project.



**UASLP**

Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**

Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

	<p>Bekkers, V. – Edelenbos, J. – Steijn, B. (ed.) (2011): Innovation in the Public Sector – Linking Capacity and Leadership. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011</p> <p>Cunningham, P. – Karakasidou, A. (2009): Innovation in the Public Sector. Policy Brief No. 2 (2009). European Trend Chart on Innovation Policy, August 2009</p> <p>Oxford review of Economic Policy, (2003) Issue on Financing and Managing Public Services, Summer</p> <p>Potts, J. – Kastelle, T. (2010): Public sector innovation research: What's next? Innovation: management, policy &amp; practice (2010) Vol. 12, pp.122-137</p> <p>Røste, R. (2004): Studies of innovation in the public sector, a literature review. PUBLIN Working Paper, Delivery D8, version2</p> <p>Rubalcaba, L. – Hipp, C. (2010): Organisational innovation in services. Europe INNOVA Sectoral Innovation Watch, Horizontal reports</p> <p>Yuen, Y. H. (2007): Overview of Knowledge Management in the Public Sector. 7th Global Forum on Reinventing</p> <p>Paz, E. C., &amp; Lebrero, J. C. R. (2016). La innovación social desde el ámbito público: Conceptos, experiencias y obstáculos. Gestión y análisis de políticas públicas.</p> <p>Vidal, J. P. (2016). Modelos innovadores de administración y gestión pública: Hacia la emergencia de nuevos paradigmas. Gestión y análisis de Políticas Públicas</p>
<b>Digital resources</b>	Ministerio de la Presidencia. (2009). Guía para la evaluación de la calidad de los Servicios Públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Gobierno de España website: <a href="http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia_evaluacion_calidad.pdf">http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia_evaluacion_calidad.pdf</a>



**UASLP**

Universidad Autónoma  
de San Luis Potosí



**IMaREC**

Maestría Interdisciplinaria  
en Ciudades Sostenibles

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí  
Faculty of Engineering / Faculty of Architecture Studies  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities  
Agenda Ambiental  
Interdisciplinary Masters on Resource Efficient Cities

<b>Teaching methods</b>	Presentation of the topics through videos, power point presentations, readings, discussion groups, written essays and the analysis of case studies in an integrated way.
<b>Learning activities</b>	Participation Field work Research project

### C) TEACHING AND LEARNING STRATEGIES

The course will be developed mainly as a seminar-workshop. The main attraction of this method lies in the possibility of collective reflection on each of the issues summarized in the program, based on certain key concepts derived from readings and presentations in class. The course will be dynamic and participatory, based on discussions.

For some theoretical classes, each student must take assigned readings and prepare an essay (max. 1 page). This essay should express your OWN opinion, experiences, doubts and / or thoughts. This text must be delivered the night before the next class.

The main activities to be carried out in the course are:

- ✓ Pre-readings for each session, including essay development, concept maps;
- ✓ Participation in specific activities during the course sessions and on the website.
- ✓ Individual or teamwork activities (including fieldwork activities).
- ✓ Formulation of a project (a project integrated with other basic courses) at the end of the course.

Great active participation of students is expected in group discussions, in the field and / or on the website (post comments on discussion forums or links, maintain communication, answer surveys, etc.). This participation must be guided by the following criteria:

- ✓ Content and argument
- ✓ Tolerance and openness
- ✓ Cooperation
- ✓ Focused on problems
- ✓ Continuity

### D) EVALUATION AND ACCREDITATION

Preparation and / or presentation of:	Periodicity	Covers	Weight of each partial in relation to the ordinary
<b>First partial exam:</b> Oral essay presentation	At the end of Unit 2	Units 1 to 2	20%
<b>Second partial exam:</b> Written essay presentation	At the end of Unit 3	Unit 3	20%
<b>Third partial exam:</b> Final essay presentation	At the end of Unit 4	Unit 4	20%
<b>Ensayo Final</b>	At the end of the units	Units 1 to 4	40%
<b>TOTAL</b>			100%
<b>Ordinary exam</b>	The ordinary final grade will consist of the 3 partial grades (60%), presentation of the final essay (40%).		
<b>Other academic activities required</b>			

## E) REFERENCES AND DIGITAL RESOURCES

### Main texts

Adelman, I. (1999): Fallacies in Development Theory and Their Implications for Policy. Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Policy Division of Agricultural and Natural Resources University of California At Berkeley. Working Paper No. 887

Antúnez Sánchez, A. F., & Domingos Víctor, J. (2013). El control ambiental, un servicio público para el desarrollo local como política estatal para el desarrollo sostenible. Nómadas. Revista Crítica de Ciencias Sociales y Jurídicas, 38(0). [https://doi.org/10.5209/rev\\_NOMA.2013.v38.42915](https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_NOMA.2013.v38.42915)

Bason, C. (2010): Leading Public Sector Innovation – Co-creating for a better society. The Policy Press, 2010

Bailey, S. J. – Valkama, P. – Anttiroiko, A-V. (ed.) (2010): Innovations in Financing Public Services. Country Case Studies. Palgrave Macmillan

Bailey, S. J. (2011): Innovative Models for Funding Public Sector Infrastructure: UK Case Study. A Special Report for KUPERA Project.

Beach, Lee Roy, (1998). Image Theory: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations.

- Bekkers, V. – Edelenbos, J. – Steijn, B. (ed.) (2011): Innovation in the Public Sector – Linking Capacity and Leadership. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011
- Cunningham, P. – Karakasidou, A. (2009): Innovation in the Public Sector. Policy Brief No. 2 (2009). European Trend Chart on Innovation Policy, August 2009
- Doherty, tland horne, t. (2002) Public Services Management, london: routledge.
- Flynn, N. (2002) Public Sector Management, harlow: Pearson education.
- Fountain, J. E. (2001): Paradoxes of public sector customer service. Governance, Vol. 14, pp. 55-73
- Goldsmith, Stephen and Eggers, William (2004). Governing by Network: The New Shape of the Public Sector.
- Le grand, J Motivation, (2003), Agency and Public Policy.
- Mulgan, G. (2009): The art of public strategy. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Oxford review of Economic Policy, (2003) Issue on Financing and Managing Public Services, Summer
- Potts, J. – Kastelle, T. (2010): Public sector innovation research: What's next? Innovation: management, policy & practice (2010) Vol. 12, pp.122-137.
- Potts, J. (2009): The innovation deficit in public services. Innovation: Management, Policy and Practice, Vol. 11, No. 1. pp.34-43.
- Rius, A. (1992). Servicios públicos y reforma fiscal ambiental en América Latina: Oportunidades y desafíos. Gestión y Política Pública, 25(1), 245–297. Retrieved from [http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S1405-10792016000100007](http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1405-10792016000100007)
- Røste, R. (2004): Studies of innovation in the public sector, a literature review. PUBLIN Working Paper, Delivery D8, version2
- Rubalcaba, L. – Hipp, C. (2010): Organisational innovation in services. Europe INNOVA Sectoral Innovation Watch,Horizontal reports
- Yuen, Y. H. (2007): Overview of Knowledge Management in the Public Sector. 7th Global Forum on Reinventing
- Paz, E. C., & Lebrero, J. C. R. (2016). La innovación social desde el ámbito público: Conceptos, experiencias y obstáculos. Gestión y análisis de políticas públicas.
- Vidal, J. P. (2016). Modelos innovadores de administración y gestión pública: Hacia la emergencia de nuevos paradigmas. Gestión y análisis de Políticas Públicas.



Martí, M., Blanco, I., & Parés i Franzi, M. (2016). Regeneración urbana y gobernanza. ¿ Cómo evaluar la participación en una red de gobernanza? Tres perspectivas teóricas y un estudio de caso. *Participación, políticas públicas y territorio*, 27-52.

Cejudo, G., Dussauge, M., & Michel, C. (2016). La innovación en el sector público: Tendencias internacionales y experiencias mexicanas. Ciudad de México: CIDE.

#### Web Sites

Cardozo Brum, M. I. (2006). La evaluación de políticas y programas públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Camara de Diputados website:  
[http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval\\_pol\\_prog\\_pub.pdf](http://biblioteca.diputados.gob.mx/janum/bv/ce/scpd/LIX/eval_pol_prog_pub.pdf)

Ministerio de la Presidencia. (2009). Guía para la evaluación de la calidad de los Servicios Públicos. Retrieved June 26, 2019, from Gobierno de España website:  
[http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia\\_evaluacion\\_calidad.pdf](http://www.aeval.es/export/sites/aeval/comun/pdf/calidad/guias/Guia_evaluacion_calidad.pdf)